
50. The Trendle







Site	The Trendle	
1	Planning History - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
	Does the sites have an extant planning permission, which can still be implemented	N
	and would conflict with a Local Green Space designation? (If yes, the site is	
	considered not suitable for Local Green Space Designation)	
	Has the site been allocated for an incompatible Local Green Space use? If yes, the	N
	site is considered not suitable for Local Green Space Designation	
	Any further points to raise from the Strategic Land Availability Assessment?	

2 Othe	er Designations - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
Is th	e site covered by any of the following designations?	N
Sner	cial Areas of Conservation; Special Protection Areas; Sites of Special Scientific	
	rest; Registered Parks and Gardens; Local Nature Reserves; Registered village	
	ens; Common land; Strategic Green Space; Minerals Safeguarding Area	
	s, the site is considered not suitable for Local Green Space Designation	V
	cimity to Community - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
is th	e site within 240m radius of 10 dwellings or more?	Υ
If ves	s, the site is considered suitable for Local Green Space Designation due to its proximity	
	e community it serves	
4 Loca	I Character and Not Extensive Tract of Land - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
	e site, or adjacent green spaces appropriate for Local Green Space taken	N
toge	ther, larger than 10ha?	
16	a the site is considered not withhir for Local Cores Core 2	
If yes	s, the site is considered not suitable for Local Green Space Designation	
How	does the site relate to the local area? Does the site feel part of the local	
	? Does the site connect physically, visually and/or socially to the area? Is the	
site	distinct from its context by virtue of its size, land type or landscape features,	
e.g. v	what are its boundary conditions?	
The		
	Trendle is an Iron Age enclosure, protected as a scheduled monument. It lies in a single field with a distinct boundary, although this is not accessible to the	
	lic. The field is largely surrounded by development and is therefore now	
	nct in terms of land use as well as its historic significance.	
	Č	
	site should not be an extensive tract of land. How large is the site in	
com	parison to the community it serves and areas of land in the vicinity?	
The	site is 1.9 Ha. This site is of historic significance for the whole parish which has	
an a	rea of 1,330 Ha.	
Is th	e extent of the size reasonable for its potential designation as a Local Green	1
	ce - How has the size of the site been decided? Does the site follow natural	
_	ures or existing constraints? Does the whole site justify allocation as Local	
	en Space or is some of the site unnecessarily included? Is the site unusually	
large	e for its use?	
I		

	The site is a field and the size has been decided on the basis of the boundary perimeter.	
5	Particular Local Significance - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
5.1	Beauty - Does it meet LGS criteria?	N
	Is the site discernibly beautiful or more beautiful than the surrounding areas? Please provide justification below	
	Site visibility - Is the site visible from a public place? Are there views of the site from any key locations?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Is the site (or type of landscape) specifically mentioned in any relevant landscape character assessments, conservation area appraisals or similar documents?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Is the site covered by any landscape or similar designations?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Are there any cultural references to the site's scenic quality?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Does the site bring interest from further afield to the local area?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Does the green space help to improve the overall appearance of the area by means of its features?	
	Please provide justification below	
5.2	Historic Significance - Does it meet LGS criteria?	Υ
	Are there any historic buildings, features or remains on the site? For example, listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments, and war memorials.	Y

	The site contains a scheduled monument - an earthwork enclosure of Iron Age	
	date.	
	Historic England. Scheduled Monument. Trendle earthwork NE of Kelly College. Ref: 1002598. https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1002598	
	Historic Environment Record. MDV3829. The Trendle, Tavistock - https://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/Gateway/Results-Single.aspx?uid=M https://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/Gateway/Results-Single.aspx https://www.heritagegateway.org.uk/Gateway/Results-Single.aspx	

i	Are there better facilities nearby?	
	Does the Green space incorporate play provision or is it included in the play strategy to deliver new play provision? What is the quality of the play area?	
	Please provide justification below	
	Are there any other play provisions within a 240m for local playable space or 600m for strategic play space? If yes, please provide details below	
	Is there evidence or is it feasible that the site is used for informal recreation? Can the site be accessed easily? Are there desire-lines present on site?	
	If yes, please provide details below	
	Does the site incorporate any recreational routes, e.g. bridleways, PRoWs, National Cycle Routes, key pedestrian and cycle links?	
	If yes, please provide details below	
5.4	Tranquillity - Does it meet LGS criteria?	N
	Is the site considered to be tranquil? If yes, what features make it feel tranquil?	
	is the site considered to be tranquir: if yes, what reacures make it reef tranquir:	
	Please provide justification below	
	Please provide justification below Does it enhance the feeling of tranquillity within the local area through a feeling of	
	Please provide justification below Does it enhance the feeling of tranquillity within the local area through a feeling of remoteness?	
	Please provide justification below Does it enhance the feeling of tranquillity within the local area through a feeling of remoteness? Please provide justification below Does it provide an area of tranquillity within a busy setting by hiding man-made structures such as roads, power-lines or lighting and by reducing the appearance of	
5.5	Please provide justification below Does it enhance the feeling of tranquillity within the local area through a feeling of remoteness? Please provide justification below Does it provide an area of tranquillity within a busy setting by hiding man-made structures such as roads, power-lines or lighting and by reducing the appearance of noise and light impacts?	Υ
5.5	Please provide justification below Does it enhance the feeling of tranquillity within the local area through a feeling of remoteness? Please provide justification below Does it provide an area of tranquillity within a busy setting by hiding man-made structures such as roads, power-lines or lighting and by reducing the appearance of noise and light impacts? Please provide justification below	Y

	If the site is not formally designated, is there any evidence that there are important habitats or species found on the site? Aerial imagery shows trees on the site, particularly its southern portion, maturing and spreading (evidenced from sequential aerial imagery). It can also be observed that the field is not heavily grazed and now supports a wild meadow and scrub vegetation. In this context this adds valuable wildlife diversity as other fields in this	Y
	area are more heavily grazed.	
	Have ecological surveys been undertaken on or close to the site? No	N
	Has the site been defined as part of the JLP biodiversity network? Is the site adjacent to a designated site?	N
	No	
	Is there any evidence, or is it likely that other wildlife of interest has been found on the site? Are there ancient hedgerows, woodland, veteran trees on the site? As detailed above, the site is bounded and partly bisected by ancient hedgerows and the field, as it is currently managed, is transitioning to scrub and woodland.	Y
6	Evidence of Local Support and Alternate Green Space Provision - Is there sufficient current evidence of Local Support?	Υ
	If yes, please provide details below There is widespread recognition of the historic significance of this site to the town of Tavistock as evidenced by continuity of attention given to the site by local historians and in the press e.g. https://dartmoorlinks.co.uk/community-news/local-people/the-trendle-tavistock%E2%80%99s-prehistoric-settlement/	
7	Could the site help to mitigate against the effects of climate change on the local community? Does the site have sufficient landscape qualities that could help tackle climate change?	Υ
	If yes, please provide details below The site, as detailed above, is now covered by maturing trees and wild meadow and scrub. As it currently exists, the site therefore provides a carbon store. It provides necessary shade (and a cooling effect). Significantly, the site is on a steep slope, and the surface roughness of the vegetation is of great value for trapping and slowing the flow of runoff and	Υ

	therefore contributes to flood attenuation (see the work of the Westcountry Rivers Trust on Rapid Response Catchments).	
8	Does the site include any landscape features that could help build resilience to climate change challenges? Does the site have sufficient landscape features that could build resilience to climate change?	Υ
	If yes, please provide details below	
	As above	
9	Conclusion - Should site be considered for allocation?	Υ
	Please provide any concluding remarks below	
	The 'Trendle' meadow, whilst not publicly accessible, is of significant historic importance for the town of Tavistock and its people. The earthwork itself is a scheduled monument but the rest of the field in which it lies is likely to have associated archaeological value as part of the Trendle landscape. The site is now almost entirely surrounded by development and as a wooded and scrubby field adds wildlife diversity and climate resilience to the Tavistock landscape.	